

**Cochrane Rapid Review Methods:
A Look at Interim Recommendations**

REFERENCES

1. Moher D, Stewart L, Shekelle P. All in the Family: systematic reviews, rapid reviews, scoping reviews, realist reviews, and more. *Syst Rev* 2015;4:183. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-015-0163-7>.
2. Moore G, Redman S, Rudge S, Haynes A. Do policy-makers find commissioned rapid reviews useful? *Health Res Policy Syst* 2018;16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-018-0293-1>.
3. Mijumbi-Deve R, Rosenbaum SE, Oxman AD, Lavis JN, Sewankambo NK. Policymaker experiences with rapid response briefs to address health-system and technology questions in Uganda. *Health Res Policy Syst* 2017;15:37. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-017-0200-1>.
4. Hartling L, Guise J-M, Hempel S, Featherstone R, Mitchell MD, Motu'apuaka ML, et al. Fit for purpose: perspectives on rapid reviews from end-user interviews. *Syst Rev* 2017;6:32. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-017-0425-7>.
5. Peterson K, Floyd N, Ferguson L, Christensen V, Helfand M. User survey finds rapid evidence reviews increased uptake of evidence by Veterans Health Administration leadership to inform fast-paced health-system decision-making. *Syst Rev* 2016;5:132. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-016-0306-5>.
6. Hersi M, Stevens A, Quach P, Hamel C, Thavorn K, Garritty C, et al. Effectiveness of Personal Protective Equipment for Healthcare Workers Caring for Patients with Filovirus Disease: A Rapid Review. *PLOS ONE* 2015;10:e0140290. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140290>.
7. Garritty CM, Norris SL, Moher D. Developing WHO rapid advice guidelines in the setting of a public health emergency. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2017;82:47–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.08.010>.
8. Patnode CD, Eder ML, Walsh ES, Viswanathan M, Lin JS. The Use of Rapid Review Methods for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Am J Prev Med* 2018;54:S19–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2017.07.024>.
9. Hamel C, Michaud A, Thuku M, Skidmore B, Stevens A, Nussbaumer-Streit B, Garritty C. Defining Rapid Reviews: a systematic scoping review and thematic analysis of definitions and defining characteristics of rapid reviews. Submitted to *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* February 2020.
10. Hamel C, Michaud A, Thuku M, Affengruber L, Skidmore B, Stevens A, Nussbaumer-Streit B, Garritty C. Rapid review methodology: a systematic scoping review. Submitted to *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* April 2020.
11. Nussbaumer-Streit B, Klerings I, Dobrescu AI, Persad E, Stevens A, Garritty C, et al. Excluding non-English publications from evidence-syntheses did not change conclusions: a meta-epidemiological study. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2020;118:42–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2019.10.011>.
12. Gartlehner G, Affengruber L, Titscher V, Noel-Storr A, Dooley G, Ballarini N, et al. Single-reviewer abstract screening missed 13 percent of relevant studies: a crowd-based, randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2020;121:20–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2020.01.005>.

13. Marshall IJ, Marshall R, Wallace BC, Brassey J, Thomas J. Rapid reviews may produce different results to systematic reviews: a meta-epidemiological study. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2019;109:30–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.12.015>.
14. Spry C, Mierzwinski-Urban M. The impact of the peer review of literature search strategies in support of rapid review reports. *Res Synth Methods* 2018;9:521–6. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jrsm.1330>.