A day with... GRADEing Methods Group



# Latest GRADE guidance about communicating the findings of systematic reviews

Nancy Santesso, RD, PhD

Assistant Professor, Department of Health Research Methods, Evidence, and Impact

Deputy Director, Cochrane Canada

McMaster University, Canada

#### **Results from review**

#### PART ONE

#### Vaccine No vaccine Risk Ratio Risk Ratio Study or Subgroup Total Total Weight M-H, Random, 95% CI M-H, Random, 95% CI Events Events 86 Cameron 2001 8 7 88 22.5% 1.17 [0.44, 3.08] Chan 2000 3 40 12.9% 0.39 [0.11, 1.43] б 31 Hubacher 2001 384 2 164 9.1% 1.49 [0.31, 7.12] 36 5 5.1% 0.20 [0.02, 1.63] Jantti 1996 1 36 18 Van Schoor 2003 276 20 285 50.5% 0.93 [0.50, 1.72] 0.84 [0.52, 1.36] Total (95% CI) 822 604 100.0% Total events 37 40 Heterogeneity. Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.02; Chi<sup>2</sup> = 4.24, df = 4 (P = 0.38); $I^2 = 6\%$ 0'5 1Ò Ó 1 0'2 Test for overall effect: Z = 0.69 (P = 0.49) Favours vaccine Favours no vaccine

#### Number of people with pneumonia

#### It means out of 100 people,

1 less person with pneumonia (from 3 fewer to 2 more) when receiving vaccine



#### **GRADE** the evidence

- Serious concern with risk of bias (due to randomisation and selective reporting)
- No concern with inconsistency
- No concern with indirectness
- Some concern with imprecision (due to few events)
- No concern with publication bias

#### LOW certainty evidence in effect



Low certainty evidence in RR 0.84 (95% CI, 0.52 – 1.36), or 1 less person out of 100 (from 3 fewer to 2 more) when receiving vaccine



Low certainty evidence in RR 0.84 (95% Cl, 0.52 – 1.36), or 1 less person out of 100 (from 3 fewer to 2 more) when receiving vaccine

#### Can we write this in another way in our conclusions? plain language summary? discussion?



#### Narrative descriptions...not so good examples

The evidence for outcome X shows, at best, a non-statistically significant trend in favour of the treatment. *(confusing)* 

We found that the treatment is not associated with outcome X. *(cause and effect – should not use associated)* 

There was no evidence of effect on outcome. *(no evidence of effect or evidence of no effect?)* 



#### Narrative descriptions...not so good examples

Treatment reduces mortality

(making this conclusion when the evidence is low certainty)



GRADE provides guidance about how to write these statements



#### System to write statements



**HIGH Certainty of the evidence** 

Large effect	X results in a large reduction/increase in outcome			
Moderate effect	X reduces/increases outcome			
	X results in a reduction/increase in outcome			
Small important	X reduces/increases outcome slightly			
effect	X results in a slight reduction/increase in outcome			
Trivial, small	V results in little to no difference in outcome			
unimportant effect				
or no effect	X does not reduce/increase outcome			
A day with GRADEing Methods Group	GRA			



HIGH Certainty of the evidence						
Large effect	X results in a large reduction/increase in outcome					
Moderate effect	X reduces/increases outcome X results in a reduction/increase in outcome					
Small important effect	X reduces/increases outcome slightly X results in a slight reduction/increase in outcome					
Trivial, small unimportant effect or no effect	X results in little to no difference in outcome X does not reduce/increase outcome					
MODERATE Certainty of the evidence						
Large effect	X likely results in a large reduction/increase in outcome X probably results in a large reduction/increase in outcome					
Moderate effect	X likely reduces/increases outcome X probably reduces/increases outcome X likely results in a reduction/increase in outcome X probably results in a reduction/increase in outcome					
Small important effect	X probably reduces/increases outcome slightly X likely reduces/increases outcome slightly X probably results in a slight reduction/increase in outcome X likely results in a slight reduction/increase in outcome					
Trivial, small unimportant effect or no effect	X likely results in little to no difference in outcome X probably results in little to no difference in outcome X likely does not reduce/increase outcome X probably does not reduce/increase outcome					

1					
LOW Certainty of the evidence					
Large effect	The evidence suggests X results in a large reduction/increase in outcome				
Moderate effect	X may reduce/increase outcome The evidence suggests X reduces/increases outcome X may result in a reduction/increase in outcome The evidence suggests X results in a reduction/increase in outcome				
Small important effect	X may reduce/increase outcome slightly The evidence suggests X reduces/increases outcome slightly X may result in a slight reduction/increase in outcome The evidence suggests X results in a slight reduction/increase in outcome				
Trivial, small unimportant effect or no effect	X may result in little to no difference in outcome The evidence suggests that X results in little to no difference in outcome X may not reduce/increase outcome The evidence suggests that X does not reduce/increase outcome				
	VERY LOW Certainty of the evidence				
Any effect	The evidence is very uncertain about the effect of X on outcome X may reduce/increase/have little to no effect on outcome but the evidence is very uncertain				



#### How did we develop these statements?





### 2010 and 2014: Published work

- since 2003
- developing and testing narrative statements to communicate results in plain language summaries
- Focus groups and user testing in 34 consumers in Canada, Norway, Australia and Argentina
- RCT use of statements when comparing different plain language summaries Canada, Norway, Italy, Spain and Argentina

Glenton C, Santesso N, Rosenbaum S, Nilsen ES, Rader T, Ciapponi A, Dilkes H. Presenting the results of Cochrane Systematic Reviews to a consumer audience: a qualitative study. Med Decis Making. 2010 Sep-Oct;30(5):566-77.

Santesso N, Rader T, Nilsen ES, Glenton C, Rosenbaum S, Ciapponi A, Moja L, Pardo JP, Zhou Q, Schünemann HJ. A summary to communicate evidence from systematic reviews to the public improved understanding and accessibility of information: a randomized controlled trial. J Clin Epidemiol. 2015 Feb;68(2):182-90.



### Proposed statements from 2014

- X will improve/reduce outcome
- X probaby improves/reduces outcome
- X may improve/reduce outcome
- We are uncertain that X improves/reduces outcome

• Small effects – add 'slightly'



### Years of use and informal feedback

- Statements could become monotonous
- Needed more options
- Some people were not comfortable with some words



### Preliminary list needed more development

- Revised list of statements with more options for wording
- 3 workshops with 20-40 people at GRADE meetings: epidemiologists, guideline developers, systematic reviewers
- survey with ~110 respondents who are informed users of systematic reviews, and developers of guidelines and systematic reviews



HIGH Certainty of the evidence				
Large effect	X results in a large reduction/increase in outcome			
Moderate effect	X reduces/increases outcome			
	X results in a reduction/increase in outcome			
Small important	X reduces/increases outcome slightly			
effect	X results in a slight reduction/increase in outcome			
Trivial, small	X results in little to no difference in outcome			
unimportant effect	X does not reduce/increase outcome			
or no effect				
MODERATE Certainty of the evidence				
Large effect	X likely results in a large reduction/increase in outcome			
Large effect	X probably results in a large reduction/increase in outcome			
	X likely reduces/increases outcome			
Moderate effect	X probably reduces/increases outcome			
	X likely results in a reduction/increase in outcome			
	X probably results in a reduction/increase in outcome			
	X probably reduces/increases outcome slightly			
Small important	X likely reduces/increases outcome slightly			
effect	X probably results in a slight reduction/increase in outcome			
	X likely results in a slight reduction/increase in outcome			
Trivial small	X likely results in little to no difference in outcome			
unimportant effect	X probably results in little to no difference in outcome			
or no effect	X likely does not reduce/increase outcome			
	X probably does not reduce/increase outcome			

LOW Certainty of the evidence				
Large effect	X may result in a large reduction/increase in outcome			
	The evidence suggests X results in a large reduction/increase in outcome			
	X may reduce/increase outcome			
Moderate effect	The evidence suggests X reduces/increases outcome			
Moderale effect	X may result in a reduction/increase in outcome			
	The evidence suggests X results in a reduction/increase in outcome			
	X may reduce/increase outcome slightly			
Small important	The evidence suggests X reduces/increases outcome slightly			
effect	X may result in a slight reduction/increase in outcome			
	The evidence suggests X results in a slight reduction/increase in outcome			
Trivial, small	X may result in little to no difference in outcome			
	The evidence suggests that X results in little to no difference in outcome			
or no offect	X may not reduce/increase outcome			
of no effect	The evidence suggests that X does not reduce/increase outcome			
VERY LOW Certainty of the evidence				
Any effect	The evidence is very uncertain about the effect of X on outcome X may reduce/increase/have little to no effect on outcome but the evidence is very uncertain			



#### System to write statements: by certainty

	HIGH Certainty of the evidence				
Large effect	X results in a large reduction/increase in outcome				
Modorato offoct	X reduces/increases outcome				
Moderale effect	X results in a reduction/increase in outcome				
Small important	X reduces/increases outcome slightly				
effect	X results in a slight reduction/increase in outcome				
Trivial, small	V results in little to no difference in outcome				
unimportant effect	X doos not roduco/incrooso outcomo				
or no effect	A UDES HOLTEUULE/ITICLEASE OULLOTTE				
A day with GRADEing Methods Group	GRAD				

#### **MODERATE Certainty of the evidence**

Large effect	X likely results in a large reduction/increase in outcome X probably results in a large reduction/increase in outcome			
Moderate effect	X likely reduces/increases outcome X probably reduces/increases outcome X likely results in a reduction/increase in outcome X probably results in a reduction/increase in outcome			
Small important effect	X probably reduces/increases outcome slightly X likely reduces/increases outcome slightly X probably results in a slight reduction/increase in outcome X likely results in a slight reduction/increase in outcome			
Trivial, small unimportant effect or no effect	X likely results in little to no difference in outcome X probably results in little to no difference in outcome X likely does not reduce/increase outcome X probably does not reduce/increase outcome			





#### LOW Certainty of the evidence

Large effect	X may result in a large reduction/increase in outcome The evidence suggests X results in a large reduction/increase in outcome				
	X may reduce/increase outcome The evidence suggests X reduces/increases outcome				
Moderate effect	X may result in a reduction/increase in outcome				
	The evidence suggests X results in a reduction/increase in outcome				
	X may reduce/increase outcome slightly				
Small important effect	The evidence suggests X reduces/increases outcome slightly				
	X may result in a slight reduction/increase in outcome				
	The evidence suggests X results in a slight reduction/increase in outcome				
Trivial small	X may result in little to no difference in outcome				
Invidi, Silidii	The evidence suggests that X results in little to no difference in outcome				
unimportant effect	X may not reduce/increase outcome				
	The evidence suggests that X does not reduce/increase outcome				
A day with GRADEing Methods (	Group				

### System to write statements: by certainty

VERY LOW Certainty of the evidence				
Any effect	The evidence is very uncertain about the effect of X on outcome X may reduce/increase/have little to no effect on outcome but the evidence is very uncertain			



# Indicators for size of effect

	HIGH Certainty of the evidence				
Large effect	X results in a large reduction/increase in outcome				
Moderate effect	X reduces/increases outcome				
	X results in a reduction/increase in outcome				
Small important	X reduces/increases outcome slightly				
effect	X results in a slight reduction/increase in outcome				
Trivial, small unimportant effect or no effect	X results in <mark>little to no difference</mark> in outcome X does <mark>not</mark> reduce/increase outcome				
A day with GRADEing Methods Group	GRAD				

Low certainty evidence in RR 0.84 (95% Cl, 0.52 – 1.36), or 1 less person out of 100 (from 3 fewer to 2 more) when receiving vaccine

What was your threshold for a trivial, small, moderate or large effect?

Difference of 5 people was cut off for trivial effect

LOW Certainty of the evidence				
Large effect	X may result in a large reduction/increase in outcome			
Large chect	The evidence suggests X results in a large reduction/increase in outcome			
	X may reduce/increase outcome			
Madarata offact	The evidence suggests X reduces/increases outcome			
Moderate effect	X may result in a reduction/increase in outcome			
	The evidence suggests X results in a reduction/increase in outcome			
	X may reduce/increase outcome slightly			
Small important effect	The evidence suggests X reduces/increases outcome slightly			
	X may result in a slight reduction/increase in outcome			
	The evidence suggests X results in a slight reduction/increase in outcome			
Trivial small	X may result in little to no difference in outcome			
unimportant effect or no effect	The evidence suggests that X results in little to no difference in outcome			
	X may not reduce/increase outcome			
	The evidence suggests that X does not reduce/increase outcome			

#### Vaccines may result in little to no difference in pneumonia



#### Used to communicate findings...

- Systematic reviews conclusions, abstracts, results, discussion
- Guidelines summary of the evidence
- Summaries of reviews and guidelines patients, policy makers, clinicians
- Intervention reviews more on network meta-analyses, prognosis, test accuracy, reviews of qualitative research...





## Summary of Findings Table: GRADEpro semi-automated

Relative effect 1 Anti		ipated absolute effects (95% CI)		<b>(</b> )			
(95% CI)	Without hip protectors	With hip protectors Difference		Certainty	What happens		≡
<b>R 0.84</b> ).52 to 1.36)	Low						×
	2.0% <sup>a</sup>	Size of effect	Narra	tive statements			
	High	Small effect (important) ~	None	2		✓ Insert	
	6.0%	What happens	Nor Hip	ne protectors may reduce	e hip fractures at 1 year slightly.		
<b>R 0.92</b> ).30 to 2.80)	Low	1	The	e ev N	OT meant to	be	
	0.2%			automa	ated without	thought	
	High						
1.4%		Cancel			Apply		

# Key points

- You need to assess the certainty of the evidence
- You have to decide on the size of the effect using the best estimate (the point estimate)
- You need to consider your thresholds for large, moderate, small, or trivial effect when assessing imprecision and when deciding on the size of the effect
- You can't do one without the other
- Use the grid to determine your statement

*informative* 

• Write your conclusions!

